

Tier Reclassification of SDG 16.4.2

Angela Me 6 September 2018



Presentation Outline

□ Starting Point

New Mandate

- Methodological Development
- □ SDG 16.4.2 Calculation
- Preliminary Results
- □ Achievements Issues Solutions



Starting Point

UNODC Study on Illicit Firearms Trafficking (2015)

UNODA National Report on PoA and ITI (2003 – present)

1

	Annual Seizures Repo	ort Question	naire
	Report of the Government of		
	Reporting Year:		
	Completed on (date):	(dd/mm/year)	
study asked for by the Confe consultation with Member St	ki its 6th Session, the COP adopted resolu uph 7 of this resolution, the COP took note of rence in its resolution 5/4 of 22 October 201 ates, and to complete the study in accordance	tion 6/2 promoting accord the information gathered 0, and requested UNOD with the given mandate, f	so far by the UNODC in carrying out the C "to improve the methodology, in close or consideration by the Conference at its
study asked for by the Confe consultation with Member St seventh session". Pursuant to For the purpose of conductin two questionnairee: an An questionnairee come in sego into thirteen tabs. Dependin	ph 7 of this resolution, the COP took note of rence in its resolution 5/4 of 22 October 201	tion 6/2 promoting accord the information gathered 0, and requested UNDD with the given mandate, f irre has been designed fo ber States are requested gnificant Individual Seis contains the Annual Seis completed by different a	eston to and implementation of the UN so far by the UNDOC in carrying out the C 'n improve the methodology, in close or consideration by the Conference at its r completion by Member States only. to provide information to UNDOC using areas Report Onestoansires. The two mores Report Onestoansires and Is divided thorities. To coordinate the completion
study asked for by the Conf. consultation with Member St serverth section. ² Per Jonate the per the purpose of conducti- tion operationalizes: an Ar questionnaires: an ar questionnaires come in spap- into thitteen tabs. Dependin and rottm of the questionen questionnaire. Focal points should consult individual sectione have acce	ph Tof this recolution, the COP tookshoot of reace in its recolution SV4 of 22 October 201 reace in the recolution SV4 of 22 October 201 to the study on illicit firearce traditions of the study on illicit firearce traditions. Mean wall Science Report Oversionnaire and Si rete documents. The present Excel documents promonational activates, information may be	tion 6/2 promoting secret the information systheted by and requested UNDD with the given mandete, f with the given mandete, f gainfrant Individual Sai constain the Annuel Sai gainfrant Individual Sai constaint the Annuel Sai constaint the Annuel Sai point a single focal point a single focal point a single focal point a single focal point a spectro state of the second annuel of the second state of the second state of the second annuel of the second state of the second state of the second annuel of the second state of the second state of the second annuel of the second state of the second state of the second annuel of the second state of the second state of the second annuel of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second annuel of the second state of the second sta	szion to and inglementation of the UW or far by the UWDOD in carrying out the "To improve the methodology, in does or consideration by the Conference at he recompletion by Member States only. To provide information to UWODC using stars: Report Directionaries: The two unres Report Directionaries and diritide athorities. To coordinate the completion at to a ta a coordinating official for the respondent responsible for completing in: The focal point should ensure that all
eutopacked for by the Conf. consultation with Member 35 serenth session". Pursuant to serenth session". Pursuant to two questionarises: an An questionarises: an An questionarises: an An questionarises: an An questionarise: an An for the questionarise questionarise. Focal points should consult individual sections have acco- relevant tabs are completed I Instructions for sub- available at: http://ff	a) For this resolution, the COP tooch note of rescent in the resolution by 40 × 20 cohords of later, and to complete the study in accordance to the mandate rescaring, the present questions and Salaw Report Questionnaire and Salaw and Salaw Report Questionnaire and Salaw the instructions in tab Two of the questionna es to and have read the instructions prior to o	tion 6/2 promoting sec the information gathered 0, and requested UNDOD with the given mandster, for ber States are requested gathered for the second second gathered for the second second completed by different a point a single focal point aire, and ensure that all mompleting the questions arrend as a single completed onnaire by file upp	ssion to and implementation of the UW contrary the UMCO in carrying on the C ⁻¹ to improve the methodology, in does or completion by Member States only. To provide information to UMDOC using were Report Questionaries. The two were Report Questionaries and individed therites. To coordinate the completion to to act as a coordinating official for the respondents responsible for completing in. The focu point should ensure that all ted questionaries to UMOC: coad to UMODC's website are mpleted questionaries to 31

National Report on the implementation of the Programme of Action on small arms and light weapons (PoA) and the International Tracing Instrument (ITI)

1

United States of Americ English SUBMITTED



New Mandate

The Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime "*requests* the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime to continue to collect and analyse, on a regular basis, quantitative and qualitative information and suitably disaggregated data on trafficking in firearms, their parts and components and ammunition [...] taking into account target 16.4 of the Sustainable Development Goals [...]" (CTOC/COP/2016/15, RES 8/3)

ILLICIT ARMS FLOWS QUESTIONNAIRE - DATA FILE	ILLICIT ARMS FLOWS QUESTIONNAIRE - METADATA FILE
Report of the Government of:	Report of the Government of:
Reporting Year: 2016 and 2017	Reporting Year: 2016 and 2017
Completed on (date): [dd/mm/year)	Completed on (date): [(dd/mm/year)
The completed Illicit Arms Flows Questionnaire is due on: <u>31 July 2018</u>	The completed Illicit Arms Flows Questionnaire is due on: 31 July 2018
For technical support related to the completion of the questionnaire, please contact:	For technical support related to the completion of the questionnaire, please contact:
Telephone Fax E-mail Questionnaire on illicit arms flows iafq@un.org	Telephone Fax E-mail Questionnaire on ilicit arms flows isfo@un.org
Introduction The lack of an international system for standardized reporting on the scale, technologies, routes and methods of illicit trafficking in firearms, their parts and components and ammunition is a major barrier to more effective international cooperation and the identification of global traffiching trends and parterns. With a view to addressing this barrier. UNOOC has been mandated by the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and its supplementing Protocols at its seventh and eighth sessions, to collect and analyse, on a regular basis, qualitative and qualitative information and suitably disagregated data on traffiching infrearms, their parts and components and annumition. The present data collection questionnaire was developed prusinat to his mandate by UMOOC. In olices consultation and qualitative information and leavent United Nations offices and research institutes, to be distributed annually to all Member States and leavent United Nations offices and research institutes, to be distributed annually to all Member States and the information exchange and cooperation, and guide Member States and conso to educe line trafficking in firstmannian by exchange and cooperation, and guide Member States and the introfties and regions. By aligning the questionnaire with target 16.4 of the Sustanable Development Goals and its indicator 16.4.2 (Proportion of seized, found or sumendeed arms whose illicit origin or contex has been traced or statibilited by a competent trantory in line with international instruments "), the present questionnaire also fulfils the function of measuring and monitoring the achievement of this target goal.	Introduction The present metadata file supplements the data file of the questionnaire on illicit arms flows. The questionnaire is an annual data collection initiative on quantitative and qualitative information and suitably disaggregated data on trafficing mars, their parts and components and annunktion caracteride due by UNOCC and based on a mandate by the Conference of the Paries to the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and the Protocols thereto. The metadata collected in this file provides information on the scope and nature of the data provided in the data file. It is crucial for correctly understanding, interpreting and contextualizing the data provided by Member States.
Arms seizures 2 - Criminal context 3 - Geographical information 4 - Found and surrendered 5 - Tracing results 6 - Significant Seizures 7 - P&C and #	START HERE INSTRUCTIONS CONTRIBUTORS A - Definitions B - National Framework C - Tracing & Int. Cooperation D - Data sources & coverage



Methodological Development

- **Expert group meeting (2016)**
- Pilot studies 14 countries (2017)
- **Q** Regional meetings Africa, Asia, Europe, and Latin America (2017-2018)
- □ Consultations with IAEG-SDG and NSOs (2018)
- □ Finalized questionnaires (2018)
- □ Illicit arms flows database (2018)



Monitoreo de las corrientes del tráfico ilícito de armas de fuego Reunión Latinoamericana sobre recolección y análisis de datos 29 y 30 Noviembre 2017, Ciudad de Panamá



Monitoring Illicit Firearms Trafficking Flows – Regional Meeting on Firearms Data Collection and Analysis for South East European, Eastern European and Weighbouring Member States UNDOC Unter Nations Office on Drugs and Crime 17 – 18 July 2018, Vienna, Austria



SDG 16.4.2 Calculation

<u>Indicator 16.4.2</u>: Proportion of seized, found or surrendered arms whose illicit origin or context has been traced or established by a competent authority in line with international instruments





Preliminary Results

		Preliminary Re	sults for SD	G Indicato	r 16.4.2		
Country	/	Numerator	Denomi	nator	Indicator	Total Seizu	res
		(1.2 + 1.3 + 1.4)	(1.2 + +	1.7 + 3)	16.4.2	(for referen	ce)
El Salvad	El Salvador 246		2,151		11.4%	2	4,855
Greece		-		11,637	0.0%	11	1,637
Hungary	1	11		353	3.1%		530
Kenya		34	34 5,202		0.7%	14,992	
Liechtenstein		-	45		0.0%	45	
Lithuania		3	558		0.5%	57	
Moldova		5	53		9.4%	68	
Panama	1	460		2,594	17.7%	4	2,594
Philippine	es	-		35	0.0%	59	
Romania	3	-		85	0.0%		85
United King	dom	114		1,268	9.0%	2	2,225
Note: Figures	reflect th	e totals for the 2016-201	7 period.				
5.1. Total number of a	rms <u>seized</u> ,	disaggregated by outcome of tra	cing and other foll	ow-up activities			
			2016	2017			
			Total arms	Total arms	-		
Type of Weapon	Outcome o	f tracing / Follow-up activities	seized	seized	Remarks	Validation	
	1.1. Weapo	a spinsel from its to sitilizate summer on					
1.2. Weap weapon fo		n seized from its <u>legitimate</u> owner an nd in <u>national</u> registry	d				
	weapon fou 1.2. Weapo	nd in <u>national</u> registry n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or	d				
1. Uniquely identifiable	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) <u>iden</u>	nd in <u>national</u> registry n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or				_	
1. Uniquely identifiable through marking	weapon fou 1.2. Weapo weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) <u>ider</u> in <u>foreign</u> re 1.4. Point or	nd in <u>national</u> registry n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titlied</u> through tracing and weapon for	und				
	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point or competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p	nd in <u>national</u> registry n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon fou gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough informatio oint of diversion	und			The totals should with the reported Table 1.5:	
	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point of record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point of competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing	nd in <u>national</u> registry n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon fou gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough informatio oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u>	und			with the reported Table 1.5:	d totals ir
	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point of record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point of competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing	nd in <u>national registry</u> n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon fou gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough informatio oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u> ing procedure initiated	und a on			with the reported	
	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point or competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing 1.7. No trac	nd in <u>national</u> registry n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon fou gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough informatio oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u>	und a on			with the reported Table 1.5:	d totals in
through marking	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point or competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing 1.7. No trac 2.1. Illicitly r	nd in <u>national registry</u> n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon for gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough information oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u> ing procedure initiated 1.8. To	und a on			with the reported Table 1.5:	d totals in
	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point or competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing 1.7. No trac 2.1. Illicitly r 2.2. Erased	nd in <u>national registry</u> n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon for gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough informatio oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u> ing procedure initiated 1.8. To manufactured	und a on			with the reported Table 1.5:	d totals in
through marking	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point or competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing 1.7. No trac 2.1. Illicitly r 2.2. Erased	nd in <u>national registry</u> n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon for gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough information oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u> ing procedure initiated 1.8. To manufactured or altered marking	und a on tal			with the reporter Table 1.5:	d totals in 2017
through marking 2. Not uniquely	weapon fou 1.2. Weapon weapon fou stolen) (nati 1.3. Point or record) ider in foreign re 1.4. Point or competent a 1.5. Tracing to identify p 1.6. Tracing 1.7. No trac 2.1. Illicitly r 2.2. Erased 2.3. No info	nd in <u>national registry</u> n seized from <u>illegitimate</u> owner and nd in <u>national</u> registry (e.g., lost or onal tracing) f diversion of the weapon (last legal <u>titified</u> through tracing and weapon fou gistry (international tracing) f diversion otherwise established by a authority; please specify attempted, but not enough information oint of diversion procedure still <u>pending</u> ing procedure initiated 1.8. To nanufactured or altered marking rmation available about the item 2.4. To	und a on tal			with the reporter Table 1.5:	d totals in 2017



- □ Finalised the methodology after a comprehensive review process that involved exhaustive consultations with the IAEG-SDG, NSOs and national/international experts
- □ Created a database of focal points, which facilitated data collection and established a direct line of communication with Member States
- Difficult to accurately understand tracing terminologies (e.g. point of diversion, last legal record, otherwise established by a competent authority, etc.)
- Strenuous to thoroughly establish (e.g. through consultations with countries) the underlying meaning of data provided (e.g. "tracing pending" for how long, "no information" means what, "unknown status" why)
- □ Challenging to increasingly align countries' current reporting practices with the UN's new reporting requirements
- □ Continue to conduct training workshops, as previous ones have produced concrete results and demonstrated considerable improvements
- □ Plan to undertake outreach activities to improve understanding, enhance alignment, and increase responsiveness
- Aim to continuously refine existing questionnaires and design new tools